

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.hspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/802,499	0	3/09/2001	Kenichi Nishida	39303.20230.00	7445	
25224	7590	04/11/2003				
MORRISON & FOERSTER, LLP				EXAMINER		
555 WEST FI SUITE 3500	FTH STR	REET		WARREN,	WARREN, DAVID S	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90013-1024				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2837		
				DATE MAILED: 04/11/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	Occi	09/802,499	NISHIDA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		David S. Warren	2837	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the not patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, mand. a reply within the statutory minimum of eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) tatute, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication ARANDONED (35.LLS C & 133).	on.
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>09 March 2001</u> .		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.		
3) 🗌 Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice unon of Claims	lowance except for formal der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	matters, prosecution as to the merits C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	is
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application	ion.		
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>3 and 6-8</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4 and 5</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.		
Application	on Papers			
9)[] 1	he specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10) <u> </u>	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ a	ccepted or b) Objected to b	y the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to		•	
11)∐ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	• •		
	he oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)🛛 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
,	 Certified copies of the priority docum 	ents have been received.		
:	Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in	Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International the the attached detailed Office action for a	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome			on)
a) 15)∐ A	☐ The translation of the foreign language cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional application has	been received.	O11).
Attachment(<i>'</i>	" —	•	
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
6. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev.		e Action Summary	Part of Paper No.	

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 2 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kumano et al. (5,796,023). The Kumano patent discloses the use of a plurality of pivotally mounted white and black keys (5W, 5B, respectively), a plurality of see-saw mass members (55, and Gw, Gb, figs. 21 and 23) with first and second arms (A, B, fig. 23), a resin portion of the mass member (col. 23, line 8), weight members in each arm (55b, 55d), i.e., element 55d, inherently has "weight." The Kumano patent also shows that the weights are "set" (i.e., attached) to different keys wherein adjacent white and black keys have similar key-touch responses (col. 12, lines 36 – 38).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumano et al. (5,796,023) in view of Dodds et al. (4,273,017) or Lee (5,158,003). As discussed supra, Kumano discloses a keyboard for a musical instrument comprising

Art Unit: 2837

weights in a see-saw mass member. Kumano does not teach the first arm "popping up to a level higher" than the key when the key is depressed. However, in Kumano's figure 21, the second arm (especially element 63b) will contact element 65 in what appears to be a "higher level" than the depressed white key (when 5w makes contact with 15'). For clarity, the examiner notes that the applicant has defined the first arm as the arm that makes contact with the "follower." Kumano also shows that the distance between weights (arms A and B inherently have weight) to be different and that the distance between the weight of the first arm to the pivot center (Q) is less than that for the second arm – see figure 23. Since the mass members of both the applicant and Kumano perform the same function, the examiner considers them to be equivalent in structure and function. However, since the applicant's mass member lies above the key, the first arm will "pop up to a higher level" than the depressed key. As stated, Kumano does not show this feature (i.e., the higher first arm). Both Lee and Dodds show that when the instrument key is depressed, the first arm (i.e., the arm in contact with the follower – 27 in Lee, 30 in Dodds) "pops up to a higher level." It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add the teachings of Lee (or Dodds) to those of Kumano. Dodds provides a motivation by showing that it is advantageous to mount both arms and keys on a "supporting base" (col. 2, first paragraph).

Regarding claim 4, as discussed supra, Kumano discloses a keyboard comprising a resin see-saw mass member with weighted arms. Kumano also discloses stoppers (64, 65), sensors (51, 52), and that the structures (i.e., sensors, stoppers, etc.) are identical for each key (see fig. 3). As defined by applicant, the follower (a means for

Art Unit: 2837

receiving force from the key) is inherent – otherwise, the mass member of Kumano would not move when keys are depressed. Kumano does not disclose the use of "setting" weights in accordance with multiple octave sections of the keyboard. However, since the applicant does not define "set" – this can be broadly defined as "to put in a specified position." Furthermore, official notice is taken that musical instrument keyboards almost always span multiple octaves. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to "set" weights in the mass members throughout the range of the instrument. The motivation for this is that a keyboard musical instrument with only one octave would not allow the musician to play music in the popular or standard repertoire.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumano ('023) in view of Monte et al. (5,003,859). As discussed supra, Kumano discloses the applicant's resin see-saw mass member having weights at either end. However, Kumano does not teach weights formed by a sheet member nor changing the weights by changing the thickness of the sheet member. Monte discloses the use of weights in a keyboard musical instrument wherein the weight can be adjusted by changing the thickness of the sheet material (see col. 10, lines 52 – 58). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Kumano to include adjusting the weight by modifying the sheet thickness. The motivation for making this combination is that the structural attributes (i.e., supporting) may be maintained while the weight is altered or adjusted.

Art Unit: 2837

Allowable Subject Matter

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claims 3 and 6-8 are allowable over the prior art. Regarding claim 3, the prior art does not show the use of a weight with a hollow "volumetric capacity" to be set "so as to accomplish key scaling to key-touch response." Regarding claim 6, the prior art does not show the use of weights used in keyboard instrument, wherein the weights are made of laminations and covered in a resin. Regarding claims 7 and 8, the prior art does not show making keyboard weights and mass members by laminating sheets, half-punching the sheets to obtain a material with a projection on one side and a recess on the other, nor removing the weights from a cavity blade when a predetermined number of weight components are laminate one upon the other.

Page 5

Art Unit: 2837

Conclusion

Page 6

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. The patent to Kumano (5,574,241) discloses a resin pivoted and

weighted see-saw mass member.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to David S. Warren whose telephone number is 703-308-

5234. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9 A.M. to 5:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Nappi can be reached on 703-308-3370. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-9529

for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0956.

dsw

April 4, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800